App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1931. 32

File No. 24-31

Serial Nos. 1-9

Later References

Law 159-31-1

3. 5-33-7, 21.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Nil

Part B for August 1931

SUBJECT

Appointing the Services of Mr. D.R. Nageswara Iyer Advocate for the Conduct of the Sandal Oil Civil suit and appointing the Director of S.C.I. to sign the Plaint and Represent Govt. in the suit.

5) No alternative proposed as it may perhaps be unfair "it is the case in which there is a chance of the case being compromised in any it, and hence it is always advantageous in such instances to offer to pay A Retainer of Rs 500/ and we are now day to day writing and explaining carefully addressed circumstances if the agrees

2nd Member
3rd Member
Devan

OFFICE OF THE
2ND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
31 OCT. 1930
No. 3513

OFFICE OF THE
THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL
31 OCT. 1930
No. 1381

31.10.30

6) The actual legal fee is only Rs 4100 It is not reasonable for the happy manner & respectful ... then ... I have no objection to the suggestion of the Legal Remembrancer

7) There appears to be no prospects of compromise in this Case - The hopes of realising large sum are poor. Please see my note of 21.8.30. The cost of litigation has to be minimised as far as possible - The Case is likely to go on for a long time and the alternative proposed may involve heavy ... The Case may be further examined with a view to reduce cost

WD 02553—G.P.B—50,000—8/30

8) I had also a talk with the advocate on this matter. He appears to me that, if he intends to fix a lump sum fee, he is not likely to accept anything less than Rs: 5000/ (Five thousand) apart from T. A. & to My come & return. For contested cases no advocate who has built up a name can be expected to accept the scheduled rate of fees. He appears to be a reasonable amount. No contingency of a possible compromise may be provided for by stipulating that only half the fee will be paid if the case is compromised at any stage.

DEWAN'S OFFICE 2624 28 OCT 1930

No Income-Tax case in which Bellari Krishna Swamy Iyer was paid at Rs: 750/ per day and in which the case, was not half so difficult or complicated as the present one in which the case has to be constructed, conducted & argued from no start to finish.

Submit for orders.

The K.A. Secy. 15/11/30

2nd Member

Dewan

Submit the file - Secretariat should be fixed without further delay

B 18/11

OFFICE OF THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL 18 NOV. 1930 No. 1695

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 18 NOV. 1930 No.

[redacted] 18/11/30

The maximum fee payable according to the Scale laid down in the rules is Rs 1000 I do not understand how it is taken to be Rs 4000 - The demand for a fee of Rs 4000 or 5000 seems to be too high. A high fee might have been paid if the prospects of realising the amount were promising. I am afraid such is not the position. Mr. Nagesvara Iyer is quite familiar with the case and its history for handling the

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192

erial No.

Civil Suit will not appear as much as it would a new Counsel and this consideration is also in favour of his accepting a much smaller fee than what that he has asked to We might offer him Rs 2000 the amount Rs 2000 allowable under the rules and if he is not willing to accept it the case may be entrusted to the Crown Advocate

Draft
20/11/30

(12) Paragraph 11 ante 4 Styles is put up for approval.

3rd Member
2nd Member

No: 492 D 21

To Mr. A. R. Nageswara Iyer requesting him to state whether he would accept a fee of Rs 2000/- -

Letter dated 24th November 1930 from Mr A. R. Nageswara Iyer, B. A. B.L. Advocate, Bangalore stating

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. of 193

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE 2915 29. NOV. 1930

that he is unable to take up the brief for a fee of Rs. 2000 & one. -

OFFICE OF THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL 28 NOV. 1930 No. 1983

(4) May Mr. Gipso has sent a reply declining the appn
A draft letter to the G.A. is perhaps
for appn

to Member
in
Dewan

(5) I think
making an effort to secure the Rajaswara Iyer's services. ... ...
If the prospects of realising the amount involved in the suit — a considerable portion thereof — appear promising, as stated in the letter to Mr Rajaswara Iyer — ~~[illegible]~~ it is all the more desirable to have the services of a lawyer who has studied the case thoroughly —
Even the Govt Government may be willing to accept the legal services of a lawyer more

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 29 NOV. 1930 No. 6612

Hm. 29.11.30

(6) I may be drawn up for Mr. 29/11
informal Consideration at next Meeting

Note Contn. Sheet.

CHIEF SECY'S OFFICE 4823 2 DEC. 1930

WD 02553—G PB—50,000—8 7 30

Chief Secy with compts — File given to the Law Officer as per — 1 (12.
Secy's desire. RZ 3/12/30

Letter dated 13th Decr from Mr. K. Nageswara Aiyar stating that he is agreeable to accept a fee of Rs 5000/- for conducting the civil case & that in case the Suit is compromised before the Stage of evidence, a fee of Rs 2500/- only may be paid -

1) As instructed I submit for my Registers & Stamps to him on the 11th inst. He has sent a reply today as above, agreeing to the proposal but requiring payment of Rs: 2500/ in case of compromise before the Stage of evidence.

Submit for orders

D. 13/12

2nd Member

Dewan

We may be accepted

13/12

D. 15/12

I agree. Very early action may now be taken to file the Suit

Mad

16/12/30

Mad and submit

Re Legal & Dewan's L & C. above

D. 16/12

Two drafts, as instructed, are put up for favour of approval.

Legal Dr

2) For perusal before

Agree

D.

17/12

17/12 3rd Member Agree D. 17/12

17/12

eneral and Revenu Secretariat | File No. of 193

erial No.

A. R. Nageswara Iyer Esq
Advocate
D.O. No 503/p dated 19/12
requesting him to take immediate steps
in consultation with the Dir of I & C.

To C. Ranganatha Kaisar
Dir of I & C
D.O. No. 504 dated
requesting him to furnish all materials
& information that may be required by
the Mr Nageswara Iyer.

D.O. No: 281/ dated 20th Dec 1920
from the Dir of I & C, stating that he has
fixed up an engagement with Mr
Nageswara Iyer on Tuesday the 23rd inst

Letter dated 22nd Dec 1920 from Mr
Nageswara Iyer requesting arrangements
to be made for an inspection of account
books & other documents of Excentsus Ltd -
Please arrange for it at once SDPH 22/12 "

File No. of 193

General and Revenu Secretariat

D. A. Hamilton Esq C.I.E.
I.G. of Police

D.O.NO: 505/P. dated 22/12/30 requesting him to place the services of one D. Seshagiri Rao at the disposal of Mr. A. Dyer for sixth days.

Copy to Mr A.R.N. Dyer
D.NO: 506/P

Letter dated [illegible] from Mr. A.R. Nageswara Iyer stating that he has fixed up the 9th & 10th inst. to go to Mysore to inspect the books etc in the Court of the Dt Judge, Mysore & requesting the Dt Judge to be informed of the same—

(2nd) In the linked file the Dt Judge has already been requested to allow Mr Nageswara Iyer to inspect the books etc & he has also agreed ~~to this~~ in his letter of 20th Nov (Hay V) ~~proceeding~~ to do so & no further Communication appears to be necessary. Mr Nageswara Iyer may perhaps be informed of this & allowed to make his own arrangements in the matter - Draft reply put up for approval

Ch: Secy

7.1.31

Issued No: P4017
Date 9.1.31

7 JAN. 1931

Issue 7/1/31

Office of the Dewan of Mysore,

NOTE.

Dated 24th January 1931

DEWAN'S OFFICE
26. JAN. 1931

Please let me know if action has been taken to file the civil suit in the sandal oil misappropriation case.

Law Secretary,
with compliment

The file is herewith put up - In D.O. letter of the 19th Dec, our Nageswara Iyer was requested to take certain steps in consultation with the Director of Industries & Commerce, who was also requested to furnish our A.R.N Iyer with all the materials & information required by him. At the request of [illegible] the D. & P was

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. of 193

Serial No.

the district
also promised to go over again to
inspect for the purpose on the 9th & 10th
instants. It is however learnt that
on account of the demise of both of his
parents, on the same day, Mr Nageswaran
has not been able to go to inspect
the area.

2) A draft letter (as instructed
is put up for favour of approval.

Legal R'

3) Minute submitted today
D. 27/1

No. 509 Dated 26-1-1931 to Mr.
A. K. Rajaratnam Esq. issued.

4) Resubmitted. The letter now comes
to Mr Nageswaradas is flagged 'Z'
DM 26/1

5) Mr Nageswaran Esqr wanted
to inspect certain account books & docu-
ments in the courts of the D.C. Mannar &
Jaffna inspectors, before taking formal action
for this purpose, Mr S. G. P. & the D.C.
Jaffna were addressed & the necessary faci-
lities given. Mr. Nageswaran, however

D. 6/135.

Nageswara Iyers father + mother died on the same day, and he engaged in performing the funeral ceremonies I have issued a Reminder also today to him by Express.

His letter of the 3rd inst (76: C) shows that the Director of Sanitation & Factories has not yet furnished the required information. I believe the W.O.; S.C.J. is on leave.

P. M. 26/1

Dewan

31) D We may also write to the Dir asking him to furnish without delay all the particulars required by Mr Nageswara Iyer

Mme 26/1/31

Draft P. M. 26/1

32) Draft to D of I & C put up for 27-1-31

P. M. 27

To: The Dir of I & C.

Demo: 510/P Dated 27-1-31

eneral and Revenue
Secretariat

File No.

A. R. NAGESVARA IYER, B.A., B.L.,
ADVOCATE.
ಎ. ಆರ್. ನಾಗೇಶ್ವರ ಐಯ್ಯರ್, ಬಿ.ಎ.,ಬಿ.ಎಲ್
ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್,
ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ.

CHICKPET.

29th Jan 31.
Bangalore City..... 192

DIWAN'S OFFICE
426
29. JAN 1931

Confidential.

To

P. Mahadevayya, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,

Law Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Dear Sir,

33) With reference to your letter of the 26th instant, I was given by the Accountant of the Sandal Oil Factory a portion of the information I wanted about a week back. I expect the full information during the course of this week I expect to be able to put the matter in court by the end of next week.

34) On account of personal inconvenience, I was not able to go to Mysore on the 10th instant I have arranged to go there on the 31st instant I shall write to you again after returning from Mysore.

D.O. letter No. 3 II dated 30/1/31 to
The D.G.P requesting on Secretariat lines
to be instructed to refer our HAN Ltr as
suppose tomorrow by 11-

Phoned also to
the Asst to the
Dy Pab 3.15 Pm.

BNJ
30/1/31

Letter No 3626 dated 2nd Febr 1931 from the
Dir of S.O.I explaining why it has not
been possible to furnish to Mr Nageswara
Ltr the information required by him.

for perusal

Legal Adv

BNJ
3/2/31

Letter dated 3.2.31 from Mr A.R. Nageswara
Ltr forwarding copy of letter addressed by
him to the Director.

The L:R has requested the Director
by phone to furnish the info required
by Mr: A.R.N. Ltr as desired.

Ltr dated 6th February 1931 from
Mr Nageswara Ltr, intimating that
he got the necessary information
required and requesting final orders
as to whether in the circumstances of
the case a suit is to be filed or

an application for dismissal

37) Mr. Nageswara Iyer has not expressed his own opinion in the matter.

Draft as instructed submitted for approval

Legal R[r]

Sgd
P. Ch[n]

D.O. No: 513/P. dated 6.2.1931/65 from A.R. Nageswara Iyer received

In this connection reference is invited to the limited file in which Govt have sanctioned the filing of a civil suit, with the approval

D.H.

D.O. letter from Mr Nageswaran dated 7th Febr: stating that in his opinion a regular suit ought be filed.

38) L.R's: notice P.V.

He has promised to send the rulings & authorities on morrow

Memo of extracts from annuaries received on 17.2.31.

39) Books have not.

Letter No: 516 dated 18/2/31 from Mr: Nageswara Iyer requesting him to send up the final draft plaint immediately.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
25 FEB 1931

File No. 4771 of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

Letter dated 21st Febr 1931 from Mr. A. R Vaze, Ward & Co forwarding for approval of Govt a draft plaint -

U.O) A draft G.O approving the plaint is herewith put up for orders. Under order 27 rule 1 C. P. code, some person is to be appointed to sign the plaint & verify the same on behalf of Govt, unless it is the chief Secy that has to do it - In the draft G.O., the Dir of S.O.P is authorised to do this, & all other acts on behalf of Govt in the suit & other judicial proceedings arising therefrom -

Legal Rv

Dy 23/2

III) The final draft plaint as revised from time to time yesterday I have examined & revised it, and the Offr C. A. may be asked.

After the issue of the summons, arrangements will also be made to get the debts of the Co attached before Jdt

I am also examining -

(1) The question of starting winding up proceedings as well;

(2) The question of taking further action against the Directors under the Company Law

Dy 24/2

2nd Member
(1st Member
Dewan

Through Dewan

24-2-31 U/ dy 24/2/31 Dewan

(45) Draft may be seen

To,

1) Director of S.O.T.

Bde

2) Mr A.R. Nageswaradhar
Advocate

G.O. No P 5262-3/ dated 26.2.-
approving the draft plaint &
appointing the director of S.O.T. to file
& verify plaint under order 27 rule 1 C.P.C.

The Dir of S.O.T. forwarding the above G.O.
requesting immediate action to be taken
which sent to Court

LR No: S 25/ 46-L.3/ Copy of above to
Mr Nageswaradhar

Letter No "2)/ Law dated 2.2.31 of
Mr A.R.N. for forwarding a list of
Sitaramachars ~~for~~ insurance policies
& Bank shares for attachment.

A. R. NAGESVARA IYER, B.A., B.L.,
ADVOCATE.
ಎ. ಆರ್. ನಾಗೇಶ್ವರ ಐಯ್ಯರ್, ಬಿ.ಎ., ಬಿ.ಎಲ್.,
ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್,
ಚಿಕ್‌ಪೇಟೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ

CHICKPET

Bangalore City 5th Mar 31 *192*

R. Ananthasubrahmanyam, Esq., B.A.B.L.,
Personal Assistant to the Director of Industries
and Commerce in Mysore, Bangalore.

Dear Mr. Ananthasubrahmanyam,

I am in due receipt of your letter of the 28th ultimo. In the light of the information furnished by you, I have decided to file the suit in Bangalore. The District Judge will be available only on the 9th instant: on which date, we may put the matter in court. There is therefore no need to send any papers to Mysore. You may send the cheque to me to day, as I have got to buy the Stamp Papers.

Yours sincerely,

Sd/- A. R. Nagesvara Iyer

(2) Copy of the above is forwarded, with compliments, to P.Mahadevayya, Esq.,B.A.B...., Law Secretary to Government, for information.

A. R. Nagesvara Iyer
Advocate.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
5 MAR 1931
No. 82 13

(3) This refers to the change of the Registered Office of Messrs. L.T.D. from Mysore to Bangalore. The Register of Stock has been written to in this matter as mentioned

for perusal

Legal R

By 5/

2nd Member

R. NAGESVARA IYER, B.A., B.L.
ADVOCATE.
ಎ. ಆರ್. ನಾಗೇಶ್ವರ ಐಯ್ಯರ್, ಬಿ.ಎ., ಬಿ.ಎಲ್
ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್
ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ

CHICKPET.

Bangalore City 24th October 1940

To

The Law Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Dear Sir,

As mentioned by me in my last letter, I had a talk with the Director of the Sandal Oil Factory on Wednesday the 22nd instant. As he wanted me to go through a lot of papers which could not conveniently be taken outside the factory. I have arranged to go there on Sunday the 26th instant for further study of the papers and accounts

Regarding my fee for the case which we have discussed the other day - the fee calculated according to the scale in the Legal Practitioners Regulation would be about Rs. 4100/-

Considering the immense worry and trouble involved in the case, I would claim Rs. 6000/- for my remuneration or in the alternative Rs. 500/-

492
P

CONFIDENTIAL

21/11/1930

Dear Mr. Nageswara Iyer,

With reference to your letter of the 24th October 1930 regarding your fee for taking up the brief in the Sandal Oil Civil Case, I am to ~~say~~ state that according to the scale laid down in the Rules the maximum fee payable for a suit in the District Court is Rs 1000/ only. Considering the fact that the prospects of realising the ~~xxxx~~ amounts involved in the suit are not very promissing, I am directed to request you to ~~state~~ let me know whether you cannot accept a fee of Rs.2000/ for the case.

Yours sincerely,

A.R.Nageswara Iyer, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Advocate, Bangalore.

A. R. NAGESVARA IYER, B.A., B.L.
ADVOCATE.
ಎ. ಆರ್. ನಾಗೇಶ್ವರ ಐಯ್ಯರ್, ಬಿ.ಎ.ಬಿ.ಎಲ್.
ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್,
ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ.

CHICKPET.

24th Nov 30

Bangalore City..... 192

Confidential.

To

P. Mahadevayya, Esq., B.A.B.L.,

Law Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Dear Sir,

I am in receipt of your D.O.No. 492 dated 21st November 1930 regarding the fee for the Sandal Oil Civil case to be filed in Mysore.

As the case involves much trouble and strain and as it affects my ordinary work considerably, I regret very much my inability to accept the fee you have proposed.

I am, however, grateful to Government for thinking of me in this connection.

Yours sincerely,

A. R. Nageswara Iyer

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

A. R. NAGESVARA IYER, B.A., B.L.,
ADVOCATE.
ಎ. ಆರ್. ನಾಗೇಶ್ವರ ಐಯ್ಯರ್, ಬಿ.ಎ., ಬಿ.ಎಲ್.,
ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್,
ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ.

CHICKPET.

Bangalore City 13th Dec 1930

Law 24-31-4

To

Confidential.

The Law Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Dear Sir,

In connection with the talk I had with you on the 11th instant, I am agreeable to accept a fee of Rupees Five Thousand for conducting the Civil case against the Essen Flour Products Co., Ltd. If, however, the case is compromised before the stage of evidence, I am agreeable to accept Rs. Two Thousand Five Hundred only.

Travelling Allowance etc., as before.

Yours sincerely,

A. R. Nageswara Iyer

CONFIDENTIAL.

Dear Mr. Nageswara Iyer

With reference to your letter of the 13th instant stating that you are agreeable to accept a fee of Rs.5000 for conducting the Civil Case against Essenfleur Products Ltd., and its Directors, and in case it is compromised before the stage of evidence is reached, ~~to accept a fee of Rs.2500/- only,~~ to accept a fee of Rs. 2500/- only I am directed to request you to kindly take immediate steps in the matter in consultation with the Director of Industries and Commerce who is now in charge of the Sandal Oil Factories. The action proposed to be taken may kindly be intimated to me so that the Government may be kept informed of the same.

The Director has also been addressed in the matter.

Yours sincerely,

CONFIDENTIAL.

17/12/1930

Dear Mr Ranganatha Rao Saheb,

Government have in G.O.No 3342-3/ S.O.F. 27-29-5 dated the 18th September 1930 decided to take civil action against the Essenfleur Products Ltd and its directors, to reimburse Government of all the losses caused to them in the working of the Sandal oil agency. Mr A.R.Nageswara Iyer, Advocate, Bangalore, has been engaged for the purpose and he has been today requested to take immediate steps in the matter in consultation with you. I am to request you to keep yourself in communication with Mr Nageswara Iyer and furnish him with all the materials and information that may be required by him.

Yours sincerely,

To

C.Ranganatha Rao Saheb,Esq, B.A.,B.L.,

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

ADDRESS FOR TELEGRAMS:
SANDAL
BANGALORE

CODE USED: "BENTLEY'S"

SANDALWOOD OIL FACTORY
SANKEY'S LODGE

Bangalore, 20th Decr. 1930

No. 2877
Enc.

Pur 28/12/30

Dear Mr. Mahadevayya,

I have received your Confidential D. O. letter No. 506 of the 19th instant. I have requested Mr. Nageswara Iyer to meet me on Tuesday to have a personal discussion with me to enable me to furnish him with all the materials that may be necessary in regard to the Civl suit referred to in your -- letter.

Yours sincerely,

C Rangamma Rao Sahib

P. Mahadevayya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Legal Remembrancer & Secretary to Government,
Law Departments, Bangalore.

A. R. NAGESVARA IYER, B.A., B.L.,
ADVOCATE.
ಎ. ಆರ್. ನಾಗೇಶ್ವರ ಐಯ್ಯರ್, ಬಿ.ಎ.,ಬಿ.ಎಲ್.,
ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್,
ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ.

CHICKPET.
ngalore City 22nd Decr 1930.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 19th instant, I have this day written to the Director of Industries & Commerce requesting him to let me have the information which I wanted according to the instructions I had given some time back to the Accountant, Sandalwood Oil Factory, Bangalore.

In the meanwhile an inspection of the account books and other documents of the Essenfleur Products Ltd., Company is necessary. May I request you therefore to arrange for an inspection of the same immediately and oblige.

Yours sincerely.

P.Mahadevayya Esq., B.A., B.L
Secretary to Government,
Law Department,
BANGALORE.

Law 24-31-7

Immediate & Confidential

22/12/30.

Dear Sir,

It has been finally decided to take immediate legal steps for the recovery of the amounts due to Government from the Essenflewr Products Ltd and its directors, on account of the Sandal oil agency - Mr. A.R. Nageswara Iyer, the Advocate engaged for the case, wants arrangem to to be made above for an inspection of the account books and other documents of the Company - I am therefore to request you to depute Mr. D. Seshagiri Rao A.S.P. for the purpose and see that his services are placed at the disposal of Mr. Nageswara Iyer for about 5 or 6 days without delay -

The matter may kindly be treated as immediate and Confidential

Yours faithfully,

506/P of 22-12-3

Copy to Mr Nageswara Iyer with reply to his letter of 22nd inst.

A. R. NAGESVARA IYER, B.A., B
ADVOCATE.
ಎ. ಆರ್. ನಾಗೇಶ್ವರ ಐಯ್ಯರ್, ಬಿ.ಎ.,ಬಿ.ಎಲ್
ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್,
ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ.

CHICKPET

Bangalore City 3rd Jan 1920

To

The Law Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Bangalore.

Dear Sir,

In connection with the Civil Suit, I went to Mysore on Tuesday with Mr. D. Seshagiri Rao, and inspected the records which were with the District Magistrate of Mysore on Wednesday. Some of the most important papers appears to have been sent to the District Judge of Mysore in connection with some other case, and they were not available, as the latter court had been closed for Christmas. Such of the records and books which were available with the District Magistrate were perused. I have fixed up the 9th and 10th instant for inspecting the documents which are with the District

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 8th January 1931.

N

From

Chief

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Law Department.

To

A.R.Nareswara Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.
Advocate, B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 3rd instant regarding the inspection of certain books and papers of the Essenflour Products Ltd, in the District Court, Mysore, I write to inform you that the District Judge in his letter of 20th November 1930, has agreed to allow you to inspect the said books and papers. No further communication to him from this office is therefore necessary.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Chief Secretary to Govt.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section

File No

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Serial No.

No. R 4017

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the. *193*

To

A. R. Nageswara Rao Iyer, B.A., B.L.
Advocate, Bangalore.

Date of issue 9/11/31

Signed by M Dept

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 3rd instant regarding the inspection of certain books and papers of the Essenfleur Products Ltd, in the District Court, Mysore, I write to inform you that the District Judge in his letter of 20th Novr 1930 has agreed to allow you to inspect the said books papers. No further

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 02559—GPB—25,000—25-0-30

509 of 26 1/31

Confidential & Immediate

Dear Mr. Nageswara Rao,

With reference to the correspondence ending with this office letter No: P 4017/Land dated 9th January 1931 regarding the Sandal oil civil case, I am to enquire when ~~I can expect~~ the legal proceedings ~~to be instituted~~ will be started in the proper Court. I hope you have made the necessary inspection of ~~books~~ this documents in the District Court, and arrived at a decision ~~as to the nature of~~ regarding the steps to be taken in the matter. ~~This is to be~~ I am to request you to kindly treat this as immediate and send a report at once for the information of Government.

Yours sincerely

A. K. Nageswara Rao Esq
B.A.
Advocate

Appendix F. G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

Section 5/0

File No. D

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Serial No.

No.

Immediate and Confidential

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

To

The Director of Industries & Commerce
Bangalore

Date of issue 27-1-31

Sir,

Signed by Jos P. Fer

I am to refer you to your D.O letter No 2877 dated 20th Dec 1930 regarding the Sandal oil Civil suit and to state that Mr Nageswara Iyer reports in his letter of the 3rd January 1931 that you have not yet furnished ~~him with~~ the information required by him for the purpose of taking necessary legal action

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

[over

WD 02559—GPB—25,000—25-6-30

in the matter - I am directed
to request you to kindly furnish without
delay all the particulars required
by the Nafferwara Iyer and report
the fact of your having done so
for the information of your

511 Dated 30-1-31

P

Immediate

Dear Sir,

Mr. A. R. Nageswara Iyer, Advocate for Government to conduct the enquiry in civil case wants Mr. D. Seshagiri Rao A.S.P. to meet him at Nagpur tomorrow at 11 in the District Judge's Court there. Will you kindly instruct Mr Seshagiri Rao wherever he is, by wire to start immediately to enquire for the purpose? Please treat this matter as immediate Yours sincerely

J. M.

To ~~D. A. Hamilton Esq C.I.E~~

D. G. Police.

ODDRESS FOR TELEGRAMS:
SANDAL
BANGALORE
CODE USED "BENTLEY'S"

No. 3626
Enc. (

3/2/31

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

SANDALWOOD OIL FACTORY
SANKEY'S LODGE

Bangalore 1st February 1931

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O. letter No. 510/1 dated 27th January 1931 stating that Mr. A.R. Nageswara Iyer reports that the information required by him in connection with the Civil Suit against the Essenfleur Products Ltd., Mysore, has not yet been furnished by me, I write to state that as per Mr. Nageswara Iyer's desire, I instructed my Head Accountant to see him as often as necessary. My Accountant reports to me that though he called at Mr. Nageswara Iyer's office 8 to 9 times, he was able to meet him only on two occasions and has also furnished some information on the lines indicated by him. As I find that this arrangement has not worked satisfactorily to expedite my work, I have requested Mr. Nageswara Iyer to meet me on Wednesday the 4th February 1931 when all the information required by him will be furnished. I enclose a copy of my letter to Mr. Nageswara Iyer for information of Government.

Yours sincerely,

C Ranganatha Rao Saheb

P. Mahadevayya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Legal Remembrancer and Secretary t
Government, Law Department,
BANGALORE.

N° 3625

1st February 31

Dear Sir,

I have received a letter from the Legal Remembrancer stating that you have written to Government that the information required by you in connection with the Civil Suit against the Directors of the Essenfleur Products Ltd., Mysore, has not yet been furnished. In this connection I write to state that my Head Accountant whom I had asked to see you as per your request to furnish the information required by you, reports to me that he went to you about 8 to 9 times and he was able to see you only once in your office and a second time in your house and that on other occasions he was not able to find you in your office inspite of previous engagements. As this arrangement does not carry us any further in regard to this important work I have instructed Mr. Bhavani Shanker Rao not to call on you any more and it would facilitate the work very much if you can conveniently meet me at 12 noon on Wednesday 4th February 1931 in the office of the Director of Sandalwood Oil Factories, when all the information required by you will be furnished.

Yours sincerely,

CRS

A.R. Nageswara Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Advocate, Chickpet, Bangalore City.

Bangalore

3rd February 1931

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 2nd instant. I regret I cannot go over to the Sandal Oil Factor at 12 noontomorrow on account of urgent work in the thebHigh Court. Moreover my going there at this st stage would serve no useful purpose as I once went to the Factory and examined such of the d documents as were available there and gave instruc -tions to your accountant as to the details I wanted

I have to point out that I did not meet your accountant when he came by appointment once about the middle of January. when on account of personal inconvenience I could not keep up any engagement and a second time last week when Heleft my office without waiting for me; and that is all. Anyhow I note that you have instructed him 'not to callon me any more'. I shall once again mention what I want now from your office :- (1)The exact amount due to Government by the Agents when the control of the business was taken over from the E.F. Co. Lt Ltd. and on what account; and (2) The documents on which the said claim is based.

Copies of the said documents may also be sent to me.

It would facilitate the work very much if you will

Please send the above information and the copies by Post immediately.

Yours Sincerely,

To

C. Ranganatha Rao Sahib Esq., B.A.,B.L.
Director of Industries, Sandal Oil Factory,
Sankey's Lodge
Bangalore.

Copy to

P. Mahadevayya Esq. B., B.L.
Secretary to Government,
Law Department, Bangalore.

A. R. NAGESVARA IYER, B. A., B. L.,
ADVOCATE.
ಎ. ಆರ್. ನಾಗೇಶ್ವರ ಐಯ್ಯರ್, ಬಿ. ಎ., ಬಿ. ಎಲ್.
ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್,
ಚಿಕ್ಪೇಟೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ.

CHICKPET,
6th Feb 31
Bangalore City.....192

Immediate and confidential.

To

P. Mahadevayya, Esq., B.A.B.L.,
Law Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Dear Sir,

As I mentioned in my previous letter, I went to Mysore and examined some of the important documents that were available in the District Judge's Court. I brought with me some books and files with the permission of the District Judge which I left with you on the 3rd instant.

I had a consultation with Mr. C. Ranganatha Rao Sahib on Wednesday night. The figures I wanted were furnished to me. and they can be acted upon for the present, subject to the verification by referring to the original account sales, which are in the Mysore District Judge's Court. Mr. Ranganatha Rao Sahib directed his accountant to look up the originals and report whether the figures now given are correct.

The question for consideration is whether a suit should be filed or whether an application for liquidation should be filed.

We have to make out that the Directors were parties to the fraud i.e. that they allowed the fraud in question to be committed with full knowledge of the circumstances under which the Managing and Governing Directors were financing the company. The fraud on the part of the Directors will have to be inferred from their conduct, particularly their wilful omissions right through. As

ppendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 513/D

General and Revenue Secretariat

To .....

the 193

Date of issue 6-2-31

Signed by.....

Dear Mr. Nageswara Iyer,

I have just now your letter of this date soliciting final orders of Govt as to the course to be adopted in the matter of taking legal steps to recover the Sandal oil money misappropriated by the Essenflours & its directors. You have however, not stated therein your own views in the matter. I am to request you to furnish the same kindly let me know the best course to be adopted in your own opinion.

over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 02327—GPB—5,000—15-4-30

~~for Consideration of Government.~~

~~Dear Sir~~ When you saw me last, you promised to send a note regarding the question of the liability of the directors to the Government for the misappropriation of the Sandal oil money. I shall be glad if you can kindly send the same early, together with the necessary books of authority for reference which you also promised to send.

Yours Sincerely

A. R. NAGESVARA IYER, B.A., B.L.,
ADVOCATE. CHICKPET.
ಎ. ಆರ್. ನಾಗೇಶ್ವರ ಐಯ್ಯರ್, ಬಿ.ಎ., ಬಿ.ಎಲ್.,
ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್
ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ

Bangalore City 7th Feb 1931.

Immediate and
~~Confidential~~.

To

P. Mahadevayya, Esq., B.A.B,L.,

Law Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter of yesterday I am of opinion that we might now file a Regular Suit, as this course would facilitate the recovery of large sums due to the E. F. Company. I expressed my opinion when we discussed the matter on Tuesday. I am herewith enclosing some of the important decisions which support the position I have taken up

I was prom. to send the note + authorities on Monday. P. M. 2/2/31

Yours sincerely,

A. R. Nageswara Iyer

DIATE & CONFIDENTIAL.

18th February'3

Do No.56

29

Dear Mr. Nageswara Iyer,

I am in receipt of the memo of extracts from certain decisions sent to me yesterday regarding the civil liability of the Directors of the Essenflour to Government for the sandal oil money misappropriated. I have not however received the books in this connection and I request you will kindly have them sent early. Further action in instituting the suit has to be taken immediately and I am to request you to send at once the final revised draft plaint for approval of Government. The date on which you intend to file the suit and obtain the necessary preliminary orders to protect the interests of Government may also be kindly -- intimated.

Yours sincerely,

A.R.Nageswara Iyer,Esq.,B.A.,B.L.,
Advocate, Bangalore.

R. NAGESVARA IYER, B.A., B.L.,
ADVOCATE.
ಆರ್. ನಾಗೇಶ್ವರ ಐಯ್ಯರ್, ಬಿ.ಎ.,ಬಿ.ಎಲ್.,
ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್,
ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ.

Bangalore City 21st Feb 1921.

R 2

Immediate and confidential.

To 23/2/21

P. Mahadevayya, Esq., B.A.B.L.,

Law Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O. of the 18th instant, I have herewith sent the draft plaint for approval of Government. I am prepared to file the plaint and the other petitions on Saturday the 28th instant or the Monday or Tuesday following. A few copies of the draft plaint may be got typed and one sent to me immediately.

Draft Memo

28/12/29

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT JUDGE.

O.S.No. of 28-29.

Grant of Injunction by

The Director,
Sandalwood Oil
Factory.

vs

1. The Essenfleur Products Co., Ltd., by its Managing Director Mr.
2. Mr. B.Sitaramachar
3. Mr. H.
4. Mr. B.K.G.
5 to 7 sons of Mr. V.

PLAINT UNDER ORDER 7, RULE 1, CIVIL PRO: CODE

The first defendant Company is a private Limited Company registered under the Companies Regulation of Mysore and has its registered office in Mysore City. The second defendant was the Managing ~~Agent~~ director of the said Company ever since its formation. Defendants 3 and 4 are the Directors of the said company and the remaining defendants i.e., defendants 5 to 7 are the sons of ~~one~~ V. who was the Governing Director thereof. As he died about the end of July 1926, his legal representatives, viz., defendants 5 to 7 have been impleaded in the suit.

2. The first defendant company was dealing in the manufacture and sale of Essential Oils and

was having its business in some of the foreign countries also.

3. The persons above referred to were also the Directors of another allied concern viz., the Mysore Asbestos Company, Limited, which was also a private limited company having its office in Mysore. The second defendant was the Managing Director thereof and Mr. V. the Governing Director

4. There was another partnership firm called the M.D.S. Company of which the second defendant was the Manager and the other persons above named were the important partners. This company had 9 partners in all. These 9 partners and 4 others were the share-holders in the other two companies referred to above.

5. All these three companies had their office in the same building and were practically worked as one concern.

6. The first defendant company who were doing business in essential oils &c., began negotiations with Government in May 1923, for taking up the Agency for the sale of the Mysore Government Sandalwood Oil manufactured by Government in their factories in Mysore and Bangalore, through their governing Director the late Mr. V. the father of defendants 5 to 7. Government -- accepted their offer and the first defendant company, consequently its directors ie dfdts 2 to 4 & late Mr V also became the agents of Government for the sale of their Sandalwood oil in Europe and -- America, and took up the business from Messrs. Whiffin & Sons., who were

doing the business as Agents of the Mysore Government till then.

7. Though the first defendant took up the above agency from 1-9-1923 on terms and conditions which had been agreed upon between the parties, the company i.e., their officers for obvious reasons put off executing the required agreements and after repeated pressure was put ~~to~~ on them, the company executed an agreement on 10-6-1925 and that was signed by the second defendant for and on behalf of the company as authorised by the company at their meeting. A copy of the agreement is herewith -- filed. The original agreement is filed in another case and that will be produced in court later. This agreement which was after a period of three years was however renewed for a further period of 3 years and 4 months on practically the same terms and conditions and this was executed on 9-12-1926. and a similar agreement was executed ~~that~~ on the same day with respect to the American business ~~carried on~~ as supplementing

3. Among other matters, the E.P.Company agreed to send proper accounts to the Director of the Sandalwood Oil Factory regularly and to render accounts from time to time, and credit to Government the proceeds from the sale of sandalwood oil realised on behalf of Government without delay, at all events, not later than once a week; and also undertook to be responsible for bad debts and to credit those amounts to Governments not later than six months from the date of sale. The defdts 1 to 3 were then in fact Trustees for the Govt of Mysore in the business

9. The E.P. Company were doing their business in Europe through their own representatives, Mr. K.B.Mavlankar, and were also doing their busi-

business in America with their representatives Messrs. Cox, Aspden and Fletcher.

10. It was also found out later that proper accounts were not sent to the Director by the Company and that the amounts realised by the sale of the oil were utilised for their own purposes in violation of the trust ~~terms of the agreement~~, and that they were crediting to Government only small amounts, and were also furnishing false accounts to the Director. It was found that the arrears began gradually to mount up, and when asked, false and frivolous explanations were given that large amounts were outstanding on the customers.

11. As there were grounds for suspicion the Sandalwood Oil accounts in Mysore and London were audited on behalf of the Government about the beginning of 1929 and it was found out that a large sum of money viz.. about £65,000 belonging to Government and which had been realised by the Agents on behalf of Government had been misappropriated and utilised ~~by the Company~~ for purposes of the ~~Company~~ various Concerns above referred to and for meeting the other liabilities for which the Directors were personally responsible, and that the explanations given by them that heavy amounts were outstanding on customers were all false. The Directors have thus fraudulently acted in violation of the terms of the agreement to the detriment of Government interests, and have also been benefitted thereby to a considerable

(The amount due according to American account will have to be ascertained and included in the claim as this also arises out of the same contract). ??

Para 12 above

12. The financial position of the E.P.Co., Ltd., as also the

other companies above referred to as has now been disclosed were, at all events, when the agency was applied for. They were working at a heavy loss and though the directors were fully aware of the state of affairs of these companies they deliberately presented to Govt. that these companies were in a flourishing condition. This was done by the directors in order to get the Agency from Govt.

13. The second defendant and deceased father of the defendants 5 to 7 have practically made use of the moneys for their own purposes and for meetings the liabilities of the Directors were personally responsible and for the use of their own companies; and defendants 3 and 4 have by their gross and wilful neglect and by their fraudulent conduct allowed these moneys to be so utilised with full knowledge of the fact that these monies were trust monies belonging to Govt.

14. Among other matters, the Directors have not only not given to the company the care and attention which as responsible officers of the company they should have done, but have by their active and fraudulent conduct allowed the moneys belonging to Government to be misappropriated for the purposes above mentioned. To start with, the Directors including defendants 3 and 4 enabled the second defendant and the late Mr. V. and their London Representative Mr. H. to operate on the Coutts & Co., Sandal Oil accounts which had been opened solely for depositing the sandal oil monies and further allowed very heavy amounts from that fund to be used for the payment of the loans which they had borrowed on their personal responsibility

(Further particulars may be put in here if necessary)

with a view to ~~bring down~~ their own liabilities. Hr H was also thus enabled to utilise the Court money just as he likes

15. Further, though on several occasions the shareholders and defendants 3 and 4 became aware felt that the affairs of the company were not managed properly and that the ~~affairs of the company~~ needed close scrutiny, they wilfully refrained from - - doing the needful in the matter and allowed things to go on as before with the fraudulent object above mentioned. ~~Further~~, They ~~practically~~ actively encouraged the misapplication of the sandalwood oil realizations ~~to be applied~~ for discharge of the liabilities of their ~~other~~ concerns for which they were personally liable and defendants 3 to 4, fully knowing that the R.P. & Co., and the other allied concerns were working at a loss and had no funds, were putting insistent pressure on the Managing and the Governing Directors to pay off their liabilities ~~while they knew throughout~~ knowing full well that it was only the sandalwood monies that would necessarily be so utilised ~~that were being utilised~~. The Directors did not hold meetings regularly and wilfully omitted to do what were required of them as Directors. On account of their negligence, gross and wilful default and fraudulent conduct, the directors are also personally - - liable for the suit claim.

The Co's Regn and this was done with the fraudulent idea of misleading the Court as to the Co's financial position. Separate section will be taken in regard to this matter.

16. Though the Balance sheets and the accounts of the companies (such of the as were prepared) clearly showed that the companies had no moneys of their own, but had borrowed very largely, the Directors including Defendants 3 and 4 ~~allowed~~ continues these concerns ~~to continue and~~ with the help allowed the application of the sandal oil moneys. ~~for continuing these losing concerns.~~

16. Moreover in order to convey a wrong idea to the Court & to the public the defts wilfully omitted to ~~carry out the directions~~ comply with the requirements of the law & the provisions of

17. The Governing Director Mr. V. was also liable to make good the suit claim particularly as the sandal oil moneys were utilised also for his benefit by way of discharging the debts he was personally liable to pay. His estate has benefitted by his fraudulent act and his estate in the hands of his legal representatives, defendants 5 to 7 is therefore also liable for the suit claim.

(The amount appropriated as above up the date of Mr. V's death must be put in after ascertaining it from the auditors.)

The misappropriation is stated by the accountant to be ~~Rs~~ £ 9,578-5-0 ~~for Rs~~ ie about Rs1,25,000/-.

18. The cause of action accrued within the jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Court ~~about~~ on ~~in~~ February 1929, when after audit the above fraud was brought to the notice of the plaintiff.

19. The plaintiff is entitled to get from the 1st defendant company and defendants 2 to 4 individually and the estate of late Mr. V. in the hands of defendants 5 to 7 Rs.
as ~~follows:~~ per accounts hereto attached
~~£54,553-10-1 due by the Co. according to their account~~
~~Rs~~ 45,683-14-3 as per Sch A : Rs 6,06,994-11-3
£$ 83,880.17R — " " B : Rs 2 30,670-7-0
In all Rs 8,37,665-2-3

20. The value of the suit for purpose of Court fee and jurisdiction is Rs ~~8~~ 37,665-2-3.

PRAYER FOR JUDGMENT.

1. The Plaintiff prays that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to award to Plaintiff a decree for Rs. 8,37,665-2-4R
with costs and current interest from date of suit to date of payment against the first defendant

company, and defendants 2 to 4 personally and against the assets of the late Mr. V. in the hands of defendants 5 to 7 and for such further or other reliefs as the - - court may deem proper.

EUROPEAN TRANSACTIONS.

Amount due as per Account Sales of November 1928		£54,553-10-1
Add amount of commission at 3½% on above deducted by the Agents as per Account Sales to which they were not entitled according to the agreement.		1,909- 7-5
		£56,463- 1-6
Add excess collections unauthorisedly collected by the Agents from customers and which should have been credited to Government.		5,079- 9-3
		61,542-10-9

Less Amounts recovered either by cash or by adjustments:

(a) Amount received into Messrs. Coutts & Co., since 1st Dec. 1928 towards previous arrears	£8,409-13-9	
(b) Amount received in cash from Mr. K. B. Mavlankar.	4,045- 1-1	
(c) Amount received by adjustment of dues by the Soap Factory.	82- 8-0	
(d) Amount recovered from Mr. K. Parthasarthi on account of dues by him to Mr. K. B. Mavlankar.	95- 0-0	
(e) Commission on transactions from 1st December 1928 to end of July 1929 @ 1½%.	1,326-16-3	
(f) Expenses of Mr. K. B. Mavlankar accepted by the Trade Commissioner:--		
Novr:- Decr: 293-14-9		
Jan: - June: 1288- 4-1		
July 1929 41-14-7		
1623-13-5		
(g) Expenses claimed in Septr: and passed by the Trade Commissioner 276- 5-0	1,890-18-5	15,858-16-6
		£45,683-14-3

These amounts are credited towards the amount due by Essenfleur Products Co., as desired by Mr. K. B. Mavlankar,

The equivalent in Indian money at the exchange rate 1-6 ... Rs.6,06,994-11-3.

AMERICAN TRANSACTIONS.

Balance due as per American Sales at the end of December 1928 as received from the Essenflour Products Ltd.		150,391.10
Add Commission at the rate of 3½% on the outstandings adjusted by the Agents.		5,263.69
		155,654.79
Amount of compensation recovered from the Shipping Agents Messrs. Thos Cook & Son on account of Sandalwood lost in transit from Mysore to New York and not remitted to Govt., as pointed out by Comptroller vide his letter No.646/IAD dated 8-1-30. Rs.925-12-0 at 2.78¾		332.11
		155,986.90
Add the amount mutually agreed on the loss to Government on account of falsification of Accounts such as in the distillation charges and in the prices.		49,889.57
		205,876.47
Less amount recovered since 1st January 1929 by the Factories:		
From Customers through Mr. Cox	2,106.73	
" Mr. Cox by cash	25,000.00	
By adjustment of Commission and expenses.	24,889.57	
		121,996.30
		$ 83,880.17

Its equivalent in Indian currency at the exchange rate of

2.75 = 2,30,670-7-0.

This page is in continuation of 2nd page Schedule B, 41

AMERICAN TRANSACTIONS.

Balance due as per American Account Sales at the end of December 1928 received from the Essenfleur Products Ltd. Mysore	150.391.10
Add Commission at the rate of 3½% on the outstandings adjusted by the Agents to which they were not entitled under the agreement.	5,263.69
	155,654.79
Amount of compensation recovered from the Shipping Agents Messrs. Thos Cook & Son on account of Sandalwood lost in transit from Mysore to New York and not remitted to Government as pointed out by the Comptroller vide his letter No. 646/IAD dated 8-1-30 Rs.925-12-0 @ 2.78¾%.	332.11
	155,986.90
Less amount recovered since 1st January 1929 by the Factory.	
From customers through Mr. Cox.	72,106.73
Balance	83,880.17

Its equivalent in Indian currency at the exchange rate of

2.75 = 2,30,670-7-0

Proceedings of the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read -

G.O. No.D.3642-3/S.O.F. 27-29-5 dated 18th September 1930 sanctioning the institution of a civil suit against the Essenfleur Co., and its directors for recovery of the Sandal oil money misappropriated.

2. Letter dated 2/[illegible]/31 from Mr. A.R.Nageswara Iyer forwarding for approval a draft plaint to be filed in the proposed suit.

Order No. P5262-3/Law/2M-31-9 9/2/31

The draft plaint is in order and is accordingly approved.

The Director of Sandal Oil Factories is appointed under order 27, rule 1 of the C.P. Code as the officer to sign the plaint on behalf of Government and to verify the same. He is also authorised to act for Govt. in the said suit and all judicial proceedings arising therefrom.

524 Conft

26/2/1931

Dear Mr. Ranganatha Rao Saheb,

I am sending herewith the Govt. Order No.P.5262-3/Law of this date authorising you to sign and verify the plaint to be filed in the sandal oil case. Further steps have to be taken immediately in consultation with Mr. Nageswara Iyer. Necessa ry funds to be required by him for the purpose of the suit may kindly be provided by you.

Action has also to be taken to obtain from the court certain preliminary orders to protect Government interests and necessary information for this may kindly be furnished to Mr.Nageswara Iyer. A report may kindly be sent to Government as soon as the suit is filed.

Yours sincerely,

C.Ranganatha Rao Saheb, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Director of Sandal Oil Factory,
Bangalore.

No. 525 Dated 26/2/1931

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to Mr.A.R.Nageswara Iyer for information and necessary action.

Legal Rememberancer and
Secretary to Government,
Law Department

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:-

Government Order No. D. 3342-3/3.O.F. 27-29-6 dated 18th September 1930 sanctioning the institution of a civil suit against the Essenflour Co., and its Directors for recovery of the Sandal Oil money misappropriated.

2. Letter dated 21st February 1931 from Mr. A.R.Nageswara Iyer forwarding for approval a draft plaint to be filed in the proposed suit.

****

O R D E R No.P. 526-3/Law

Dated Bangalore the 26th February 1931.

The draft plaint is in order and is accordingly approved.

The Director of Sandal Oil Factories is appointed under Order 27, rule 1 of the Civil Procedure Code as the officer to sign the plaint on behalf of Government and to verify the same. He is also authorised to act for Government in the said suit and all judicial proceedings arising therefrom.

P.

Secretary to Government
Law Department

To

The Director of Sandal Oil Factories.

Mr. A.R.Nageswara Iyer.

2nd March 31.

STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.

5.2.7 Law

Dear Mr. Nageswara Iyer

I am directed to forward herewith a list of the properties of Mr. B.Sitaramachar which are said to be available for attachment in the proposed Civil Suit. Please take ~~xxxix~~ action in the matter at once and report the same for information of Government.

Yours sincerely,

To

A.R.Nageswara Iyer, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Advocate, Bangalore.

List of properties.

1. A paid up policy for Rs.9130/- obtained in lieu of policies Nos.17985 and 21097 in the official Branch of the Mysore State Life Insurance. (Since the decree to be obtained in the suit does not relate to any debt due or contracted by the insured, this amount is not exempt from attachment under Section 60 Cl(b) of the C.P.Code).

2. Amount (not known) due on Policy No.88305 in the Oriental Life Insurance Co., Ltd.

3. 10 shares in the Bank of Mysore over which the Bank appears to have some lien.